

Feedback req 1: Agency is interested in stakeholder (SH) feedback on the proposed 2 phase approach to cost data collection.

Do stakeholders believe this approach will provide the agency the sought after data on IL specific costs that will improve the precision of the REC pricing model? **Yes (SF)**

Do SH feel the timeframes are feasible for AV's and Des to provide requested data?

Are there add'l considerations that SH wish to convey to the agency (cut off slide)

Response: No other considerations come to mind (SF)

Feedback req 2: Agency is requesting stakeholder feedback that provides any potential advantages and/or disadvantages of having AV provide cost data for prj's on a per watt DC vs a per watt AC basis

Crest Model use per watt DC costs

IPA programs have generally collected data on AC size basis.

Response: We track mostly per watt DC, so that would be preferable. Nationally, cost comparisons seem to also use \$/W DC. (SF)

Feedback req 3: The Agency is requesting sh fb on the following proposal for cost data to be collected, specifically if cost data should be collected utilizing the NREL Cost Categories or only the CREST Cost Categories.

Response: StraightUp Solar agrees with the importance of collecting cost data that is more representative of Illinois costs and is in favor of a more aggregated approach to sharing cost data. Therefore, we support cost data utilizing the CREST Cost Categories. Specific cost data for equipment is sensitive and confidential and should not be required to be shared under any circumstances. (SF)