

From: [Commenter 14 representative]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2019 12:01 AM
To: Illinois-RFP <Illinois-RFP@nera.com>
Subject: IPA Brownfield Site Photovoltaic Procurement - Feedback

Dear IPA,

The following are responses to the IPA Brownfield Site PV Procurement Question & Requests for Comments dated February 5th, 2019.

Question 1 Response

Yes, the definition is too restrictive. Some brownfield sites, such as landfills, may have had a closure prior to being required to obtain the necessary status of a brownfield site per the IPA Act. Some sites may be able to demonstrate all attributes of being a brownfield site within the intent of the program, but may not have the required status. Perhaps providing records of a landfill closure may suffice.

Question 2 Response

Yes, this requirement is too restrictive. For those brownfield sites which may not have been regulated by one of the qualifying programs due to their closure occurring prior to such regulations, perhaps alternative proof demonstrating the site continues to be a brownfield site would be reasonable. Perhaps the alternative proof may be in the form of records, contracts and reports demonstrating ongoing brownfield related activities and/or conditions.

Kind regards,
[Commenter 14 representative and contact information]